arXiv:1604.05020v1 [math.CO] 18 Apr 2016

Tight lower bounds on the matching number in a graph with given maximum degree

Michael A. Henning[1,*] and Anders Yeo[1,2]

[1]Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
University of Johannesburg
Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa
Email: mahenning@uj.ac.za

[2]Engineering Systems and Design
Singapore University of Technology and Design
8 Somapah Road, 487372, Singapore
Email: andersyeo@gmail.com

Abstract

Let $k \geq 3$. We prove the following three bounds for the matching number, $\alpha'(G)$, of a graph, G, of order n size m and maximum degree at most k.

- If k is odd, then $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right) m - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}$.
- If k is even, then $\alpha'(G) \geq \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k}$.
- If k is even, then $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}$.

In this paper we actually prove a slight strengthening of the above for which the bounds are tight for essentially all densities of graphs.

The above three bounds are in fact powerful enough to give a complete description of the set L_k of pairs (γ, β) of real numbers with the following property. There exists a constant K such that $\alpha'(G) \geq \gamma n + \beta m - K$ for every connected graph G with maximum degree at most k, where n and m denote the number of vertices and the number of edges, respectively, in G. We show that L_k is a convex set. Further, if k is odd, then L_k is the intersection of two closed half-spaces, and there is exactly one extreme point of L_k, while if k is even, then L_k is the intersection of three closed half-spaces, and there are precisely two extreme points of L_k.

Keywords: Matching number, maximum degree, convex set
AMS subject classification: 05C65

*Research supported in part by the South African National Research Foundation and the University of Johannesburg

1 Introduction

Two edges in a graph G are *independent* if they are not adjacent in G. A set of pairwise independent edges of G is called a *matching* in G, while a matching of maximum cardinality is a *maximum matching*. The number of edges in a maximum matching of G is called the *matching number* of G which we denote by $\alpha'(G)$. Matchings in graphs are extensively studied in the literature (see, for example, the classical book on matchings my Lovász and Plummer [11], and the excellent survey articles by Plummer [14] and Pulleyblank [15]).

For $k \geq 3$, let L_k be the set of all pairs (γ, β) of real numbers for which there exists a constant K such that

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \gamma n + \beta m - K$$

holds for every connected graph G with maximum degree at most k, where n and m denote the number of vertices and the number of edges, respectively, in G. Our main result is to give a complete description of the set L_k. For this purpose, let ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 and ℓ_4 be the following four closed half-spaces over the reals γ and β:

$$\begin{array}{lrcrcl}
\ell_1: & \beta & \leq & -\gamma & + & \frac{1}{k} \\
\ell_2: & \beta & \leq & -\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)\gamma & + & \frac{k^3-k^2-2}{k^2(k^2-3)} \\
\ell_3: & \beta & \leq & -\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)\gamma & + & \frac{k^2+4}{k(k^2+k+2)} \\
\ell_4: & \beta & \leq & -\left(\frac{2k^2}{k^3-k+2}\right)\gamma & + & \frac{k^2-k+2}{k^3-k+2}
\end{array}$$

We are now in a position to state our main result.

Theorem A *For $k \geq 3$, the set L_k is a convex set. Further, the following holds.*

(a) *If $k \geq 3$ is odd, then L_k is the intersection of the two closed half-spaces ℓ_1 and ℓ_2, and there is exactly one extreme point of L_k, namely*

$$\left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}, \frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right).$$

(b) *If $k \geq 4$ is even, then L_k is the intersection of the three closed half-spaces ℓ_1, ℓ_3 and ℓ_4, and there are precisely two extreme points of L_k, namely*

$$\left(\frac{1}{k(k+1)}, \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(-\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}, \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right).$$

Theorem A is illustrated in Figure 1 for small values of k, namely $k \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, where the convex set L_k corresponds to the grey area in the pictures.

In order to prove Theorem A, we shall prove the following two key results on the matching number.



Figure 1: The convex set L_k for small k

Theorem B *If $k \geq 3$ is an odd integer and G is a connected graph of order n, size m, and with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right) m - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

Further, this lower bound is achieved for infinitely many trees, and for infinitely many k-regular graphs.

In fact, Theorem B is tight for essentially all possible densities of connected graphs with maximum degree k.

Theorem C *If $k \geq 4$ is an even integer and G is a connected graph of order n, size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then the following holds.*

$$\text{(a)} \quad \alpha'(G) \geq \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k}$$

$$\text{(b)} \quad \alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}$$

We will later see how to slightly improve the bounds in Theorem C, such that they are also achieved for infinitely many trees and for infinitely many k-regular graphs and essentially for all possible densities in between.

2 Known Matching Results

We shall need the following theorem of Berge [1] about the matching number of a graph, which is sometimes referred to as the Tutte-Berge formulation for the matching number.

Theorem 1 (Tutte-Berge Formula) *For every graph G,*

$$\alpha'(G) = \min_{X \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{2} \left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X) \right).$$

An elegant proof of Theorem 1 was given by West [16]. We remark that as a consequence of the Tutte-Berge Formula, it is well-known that if X is a proper subset of vertices of G such that $(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X))/2$ is minimum, then every odd component C of G contains an almost perfect matching; that is, $\alpha'(C) = (|V(C)| - 1)/2$.

The following results from [7] establishes a tight lower bound on the matching number of a regular graph.

Theorem 2 ([7]) *For $k \geq 2$ even, if G is a connected k-regular graph of order n, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2}\right) \times \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \right\},$$

and this bound is tight.

Theorem 3 ([7]) *For $k \geq 3$ odd, if G is a connected k-regular graph of order n, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \frac{(k^3 - k^2 - 2)\, n - 2k + 2}{2(k^3 - 3k)},$$

and this bound is tight.

For small values of $k \geq 3$, the results of Theorem 2 and 3 are summarized in Table 1.

G is a connected k-regular graph						
k	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\alpha'(G) \geq$	$\frac{4n-1}{9}$	$\min\left\{\frac{5n}{11}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}$	$\frac{49n-4}{110}$	$\min\left\{\frac{5n}{11}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}$	$\frac{73n-3}{161}$	$\min\left\{\frac{17n}{37}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}$

Table 1. Tight lower bounds on the matching number of a k-regular, connected graph.

3 Main Results

Our main result establishes tight lower bounds on the matching number of a graph in terms of its maximum degree, order, size, and number of components. Our first result is the following result, a proof of which is given in Section 4.

Theorem 4 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and let G be a graph with c components and of order n and size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$. If no component of G is k-regular, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right)(n-c) + \left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)m & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right)(n-c) + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right)m & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For small values of $k \geq 3$, the results of Theorem 4 are summarized in Table 2.

k	Exact bound	Approximate equivalent to
3	$9\,\alpha'(G) \geq n + 2m - c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.11111 \cdot n + 0.22222 \cdot m - 0.11111 \cdot c$
4	$20\,\alpha'(G) \geq n + 4m - c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.05000 \cdot n + 0.20000 \cdot m - 0.05000 \cdot c$
5	$55\,\alpha'(G) \geq 2n + 9m - 2c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.03636 \cdot n + 0.16364 \cdot m - 0.03636 \cdot c$
6	$42\,\alpha'(G) \geq n + 6m - c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.02381 \cdot n + 0.14286 \cdot m - 0.02381 \cdot c$
7	$161\,\alpha'(G) \geq 3n + 20m - 3c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.01863 \cdot n + 0.12422 \cdot m - 0.01863 \cdot c$
8	$72\,\alpha'(G) \geq n + 8m - c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.01389 \cdot n + 0.11111 \cdot m - 0.01389 \cdot c$
9	$351\,\alpha'(G) \geq 4n + 35m - 4c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.01140 \cdot n + 0.09972 \cdot m - 0.01140 \cdot c$
10	$110\,\alpha'(G) \geq n + 10m - c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.00909 \cdot n + 0.09091 \cdot m - 0.00909 \cdot c$
11	$649\,\alpha'(G) \geq 5n + 54m - 5c$	$\alpha'(G) \geq 0.00770 \cdot n + 0.08320 \cdot m - 0.00770 \cdot c$

Table 2. Tight lower bounds on the matching number of a graph with maximum degree k and with no k-regular component.

The following result presents another lower bound on the matching number when $k \geq 2$ is even. A proof of Theorem 5 is given in Section 5.

Theorem 5 *Let $k \geq 2$ be an even integer and let G be any graph of order n and size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$. If no component of G is k-regular, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n.$$

If G is a connected graph of order n with maximum degree at most 2, then G is a either a path or a cycle, implying that $\alpha'(G) = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$. Hence, it is only of interest to focus on connected graphs with maximum degree at most k, where $k \geq 3$. As a consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem 4, we have the following result when $k \geq 4$ is even. A proof of Corollary 1 is given in Section 6.

Corollary 1 *If $k \geq 4$ is an even integer and G is a connected graph of order n, size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)},$$

unless the following holds.

(a) *G is k-regular and $n = k+1$, in which case $\alpha'(G) = \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k}$.*

(b) *G is k-regular and $n = k+3$, in which case $\alpha'(G) = \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{3}{k(k+1)}$.*

As a consequence of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we have the following result when $k \geq 3$ is odd. A proof of Corollary 2 is given in Section 7.

Corollary 2 *If $k \geq 3$ is an odd integer and G is a connected graph of order n, size m, and with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right) m - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem 5, we have the following result when $k \geq 4$ is even. A proof of Corollary 3 is given in Section 8.

Corollary 3 *If $k \geq 4$ is an even integer and G is a graph of order n, size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n$$

unless the following holds.

(a) *G is k-regular and $n = k+1$, in which case $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}$.*

(b) *G is k-regular and $n = k+3$, in which case $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{4}{k^2+k+2}$.*

(c) *G is 4-regular and $n = 9$, in which case $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{2}{k^2+k+2}$.*

Theorem B and Theorem C follow from Corollaries 1, 2, and 3.

Let n_i denotes the number of vertices of degree i in a graph G. We remark that substituting $k = 3$, $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$, and $m = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3)$ into the lower bound in the statement of Corollary 2 yields the following result of Haxwell and Scott [5].

Corollary 4 ([5]) *If G is a graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq 3$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \frac{4}{9}n_3 + \frac{1}{3}n_2 + \frac{2}{9}n_1 - \frac{1}{9}c.$$

3.1 Motivation

Our aim in this paper, is for all $k \geq 3$, to give a complete description of the set L_k of pairs (γ, β) of real numbers for which there exists a constant K such that $\alpha'(G) \geq \gamma n + \beta m - K$ holds every connected graph G with maximum degree at most k, where n and m denote the number of vertices and the number of edges, respectively, in G. Similar work was done by Chvátal and McDiarmid [3] for the transversal number of a k-uniform hypergraph, for $k \geq 2$, in terms of its order and size. In their case, the resulting convex set has infinitely many extreme points. In our case, we show that in contrast to the Chvátal-McDiarmid result, our convex set has exactly one extreme point when k is odd and exactly two extreme points when k is even.

Various lower bounds on the matching number for regular graphs have appeared in the literature. For example, Biedl et. al [2] proved that if G is a cubic graph, then $\alpha'(G) \geq (4n - 1)/9$. This result was generalized to regular graphs of higher degree by Henning and Yeo [7] (see also, O and West [12]). O and West [13] established lower bounds on the matching number with given edge-connectivity in regular graphs. Cioabă, Gregory, and Haemers [4] studied matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues. Lower bounds on the matching number for general graphs and bipartite graphs were obtained by Jahanbekam and West [9].

Lower bounds on the matching number in subcubic graphs (graphs with maximum degree at most 3) were studied by, among others, Henning, Löwenstein, and Rautenbach [6]. Recently, Haxell and Scott [5] gave a complete description of the set of triples (α, β, γ) of real numbers for which there exists a constant K such that $\alpha'(G) \geq \alpha n_3 + \beta n_2 + \gamma n_1 - K$ for every connected subcubic graph G, where n_i denotes the number of vertices of degree i for each $i \in [3]$. Here, the resulting convex set is shown to be a 3-dimensional polytope determined by the the intersection of the six half-spaces.

In this paper, we establish a tight lower bound on the matching number of a graph with given maximum degree in terms of its order and size.

For graph theory and terminology, we generally follow [8]. In particular, we denote the *degree* of a vertex v in the graph G by $d_G(v)$. The maximum degree among the vertices of G is denoted by $\Delta(G)$. For a subset S of vertices of a graph G, we let $G[S]$ denote the subgraph induced by S. The number of odd components of a graph G we denote by $\mathrm{oc}(G)$. We use the standard notation $[k] = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$.

4 Proof of Theorem 4

Let

$$\varepsilon_k = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{k(k+1)} & \text{for } k \geq 2 \text{ even} \\ \dfrac{2k-2}{k(k^2-3)} & \text{for } k \geq 3 \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

For $k \geq 2$, let

$$a_k = \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{2 - k\varepsilon_k}{2k}.$$

We note that for $k \geq 2$ even,

$$a_k = \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{1}{k+1},$$

and for $k \geq 3$ odd,

$$a_k = \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

Further, in both cases,

$$a_k + b_k = \frac{1}{k},$$

and so $ka_k + kb_k = 1$. Theorem 4 can now be restated as follows.

Theorem 4 *Let $k \geq 4$ be an integer and let G be a graph with c components and of order n and size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$. If no component of G is k-regular, then $\alpha'(G) \geq a_k n + b_k m - a_k c$.*

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $c(G^*)$ denote the number of components of a graph G^*. Define the following five values of a graph G^* and vertex set $X^* \subseteq V(G^*)$.

(1): $\beta_1(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of edges in $G^*[X^*]$.

(2): $\beta_2(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of even components in $G^* - X^*$.

(3): $\beta_3(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of vertices in X^* with degree less than k.

(4): $\beta_4(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of components in $G^* - X^*$ that do not have exactly one edge to X^*.

(5): $\beta_5(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of odd components in $G^* - X^*$ with order between 1 and $k+1$.

For the sake of contradiction suppose that the theorem is false and that G is a counter example to the theorem. That is, G has maximum degree at most k and no component of G

is k-regular and $\alpha'(G) < a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G)$. By the Tutte-Berge formula in Theorem 1 we may assume that G and X are chosen such that the following holds.

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(n + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) < a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G). \tag{1}$$

Furthermore we may assume that $(\beta_1(G,X), \beta_2(G,X), \ldots, \beta_5(G,X))$ is lexicographically minimum of all G and X satisfying the above. We proceed further with the following series of claims.

Claim A $\beta_1(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\beta_1(G,X) \geq 1$, and let $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ be arbitrary where $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Delete the edge x_1x_2 and add a new vertex u and the edges x_1u and ux_2. Let G' be the resulting graph. We note that $c(G') = c(G)$, $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + 1$, $|E(G')| = |E(G)| + 1$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1$. Also, no component in G' is k-regular (as if any component in G' is k-regular, then the corresponding component in G would also be k-regular). The following now holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| + 1) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}$$

As $\beta_1(G',X) < \beta_1(G,X)$ this contradicts the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G,X), \beta_2(G,X), \ldots, \beta_5(G,X))$. (□)

Claim B $\beta_2(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\beta_2(G,X) \geq 1$. Let C be an even component C in $G - X$, and let u be a leaf in some spanning tree of C. In this case $C - \{u\}$ is connected. Let $G' = G - u$ and note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| - 1$, $|E(G')| \geq |E(G)| - k$, $c(G') \leq c(G) + k - 1$ (as we delete at most $k - 1$ edges not in C incident to u) and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1$. The following now holds (as we have shown that $ka_k + kb_k = 1$).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| - 1) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) - 1 \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) - 1 \\
&\leq a_k \cdot (|V(G')| + 1) + b_k \cdot (|E(G')| + k) - a_k \cdot (c(G') - k + 1) - 1 \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') + (ka_k + kb_k - 1) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}$$

Any component in G' is either a component in G or contains a vertex of degree at most $k-1$ (adjacent to u in G), which implies that no component of G' is k-regular. Furthermore as $\beta_1(G',X) = \beta_1(G,X) = 0$ and $\beta_2(G',X) < \beta_2(G,X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G,X), \beta_2(G,X), \ldots, \beta_5(G,X))$. (□)

Claim C $\beta_3(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\beta_3(G,X) \geq 1$. Let x be a vertex in X with $d_G(x) < k$. Let G' be obtained by adding $s = k - d_G(x)$ new vertices $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s$ and the edges $u_1x, u_2x, \ldots, u_sx$ to G. Note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + s$ and $|E(G')| = |E(G)| + s$ and $c(G') = c(G)$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s$. The following now holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left((|V(G)| + s) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s)\right) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) \\
&\leq a_k \cdot (|V(G')| - s) + b_k \cdot (|E(G')| - s) - a_k \cdot c(G') \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}$$

Any component in G' is either a component in G or contains vertices of degree $1 < k-1$ (adjacent to x in G), which implies that no component of G'' is k-regular. As $\beta_i(G',X) = \beta_i(G,X)$ for $i \in [2]$ and $\beta_3(G',X) < \beta_3(G,X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G,X), \beta_2(G,X), \ldots, \beta_5(G,X))$. (□)

Claim D $\beta_4(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\beta_4(G,X) \geq 1$. Let C be an odd component in $G - X$ with s edges to X, where $s \neq 1$. Let $q = |V(C)|$.

Suppose that $s = 0$ and let $G' = G - C$. Suppose further that $q \leq k$. In this case, we note that $|E(C)| \leq \binom{q}{2}$, which implies the following (as $ka_k + kb_k = 1$).

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &\leq \tfrac{1}{2}\left((|V(G)| - q) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) - 1)\right) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&\leq a_k \cdot (|V(G')| + q) + b_k \cdot \left(|E(G')| + \tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}\right) - a_k \cdot (c(G') + 1) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{q-1}{2}\left(1 - 2a_k - b_k q\right) \\
&\leq a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{q-1}{2}\left(1 - k\,a_k - k\,b_k\right) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| + b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}$$

As $\beta_i(G', X) = \beta_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [3]$ and $\beta_4(G', X) < \beta_4(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G, X), \beta_2(G, X), \ldots, \beta_5(G, X))$. Therefore, $q \geq k + 1$.

Suppose that k is even. Recall that $a_k = 1/(k(k+1))$ and $b_k = 1/(k+1)$. In this case, as $\Delta(G) \leq k$ and C is not k-regular we have $|E(C)| \leq \frac{1}{2}kq - 1$. This implies the following, as $q \geq k + 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| - q) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) - 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&\leq a_k \cdot (|V(G')| + q) \; + \; b_k \cdot \left(|E(G')| + \tfrac{kq}{2} - 1\right) - a_k \cdot (c(G') + 1) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \left(\tfrac{q-1}{2} - a_k(q-1) - b_k\tfrac{kq}{2} + b_k\right) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \left(\tfrac{q-1}{2} - \tfrac{q-1}{k(k+1)} - \tfrac{kq}{2(k+1)} + \tfrac{1}{k+1}\right) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{q}{2(k+1)}\left((k+1) - \tfrac{2}{k} - k\right) + \tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{k(k+1)} - \tfrac{1}{k+1} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{q}{2(k+1)}\left(1 - \tfrac{2}{k}\right) + \tfrac{k(k+1)-2-2k}{2k(k+1)} \\
&\leq a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{k-2}{k}\right) + \tfrac{k^2-k-2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') + \tfrac{-(k-2)(k+1)+k^2-k-2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\beta_i(G', X) = \beta_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [3]$ and $\beta_4(G', X) < \beta_4(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G, X), \beta_2(G, X), \ldots, \beta_5(G, X))$. Therefore, k is odd, and so $a_k = (k-1)/(k(k^2-3))$ and $b_k = (k^2-k-2)/(k(k^2-3))$. In this case, as $\Delta(G) \leq k$ and C is not k-regular we have $|E(C)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(kq - 1)$. Further, since C is an odd component, we note that q is odd and $q \geq k + 2$. This implies the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| - q) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) - 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&\leq a_k \cdot (|V(G')| + q) \; + \; b_k \cdot \left(|E(G')| + \tfrac{1}{2}(kq - 1)\right) - a_k \cdot (c(G') + 1) + \tfrac{1-q}{2} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \left(\tfrac{q-1}{2} - (q-1)a_k - \tfrac{1}{2}(kq-1)b_k\right) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \left(\tfrac{q-1}{2} - \tfrac{(k-1)(q-1)}{k(k^2-3)} - \tfrac{(kq-1)(k^2-k-2)}{2k(k^2-3)}\right) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \\
&\quad \tfrac{q}{2k(k^2-3)}\left(k(k^2-3) - 2(k-1) - k(k^2-k-2)\right) + \\
&\quad \tfrac{1}{2k(k^2-3)}\left(k(k^2-3) - 2(k-1) - (k^2-k-2)\right) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{q(k^2-3k+2)}{2k(k^2-3)} + \tfrac{k^3-k^2-4k+4}{2k(k^2-3)} \\
&\leq a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{(k+2)(k^2-3k+2)}{2k(k^2-3)} + \tfrac{k^3-k^2-4k+4}{2k(k^2-3)} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}$$

As $\beta_i(G', X) = \beta_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [3]$ and $\beta_4(G', X) < \beta_4(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G, X), \beta_2(G, X), \ldots, \beta_5(G, X))$. Therefore, $s \geq 2$.

Let $x_1u_1, x_2u_2, \ldots, x_su_s$ be distinct edges from $x_i \in X$ to $u_i \in V(C)$ for $i \in [s]$. Let G' be obtained from G by adding $s - 1$ new vertices $w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_s$, deleting the edges $x_2u_2, x_3u_3, \ldots, x_su_s$ and adding the edges $x_2w_2, x_3w_3, \ldots, x_sw_s$. It is not difficult to see that no component of G' is k-regular. Note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + s - 1$ and $|E(G')| = |E(G)|$ and $c(G') \leq c(G) + s - 1$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s - 1$. The following now holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| + s - 1) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s - 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) \\
&\leq a_k \cdot (|V(G')| - s + 1) \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot (c(G') - s + 1) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').
\end{aligned}$$

As $\beta_i(G', X) = \beta_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [3]$ and $\beta_4(G', X) < \beta_4(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G, X), \beta_2(G, X), \ldots, \beta_5(G, X))$. (□)

Claim E $\beta_5(G, X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\beta_5(G, X) \geq 1$. Let C be an odd component C in $G - X$ with $1 < |V(C)| < k + 1$. As $\beta_4(G, X) = 0$ there is exactly one edge from C to X. Let xc be the edge with $x \in X$ and $c \in C$. Let $r = |V(C)| - 1$.

Let $G' = G - (V(C) \setminus \{c\})$. That is, G' is obtained from G by removing all vertices of C, except c, from G. Note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| - r$ and $|E(G')| = |E(G)| - |E(C)|$ and $c(G') = c(G)$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X)$. It is not difficult to see that no component of G' is k-regular. The following now holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| - r) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X))) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) - \tfrac{r}{2} \\
&< a_k \cdot |V(G)| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G) - \tfrac{r}{2} \\
&= a_k \cdot (|V(G')| + r) \; + \; b_k \cdot (|E(G')| + |E(C)|) - a_k \cdot c(G') - \tfrac{r}{2} \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G') - \left(\tfrac{r}{2} - a_k r - b_k |E(C)|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We will now evaluate $\frac{r}{2} - a_k r - b_k|E(C)|$. Note that $|E(C)| \leq \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ as $|V(C)| = r + 1$ and $r \leq k - 1$. If k is even, then $a_k = 1/(k(k+1))$ and $b_k = 1/(k+1)$, which implies the following (as $0 < r < k$ and $k \geq 2$).

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{r}{2} - a_k r - b_k|E(C)| &\geq \tfrac{r}{2} - \tfrac{1}{k(k+1)} r - \tfrac{1}{k+1} \times \tfrac{r(r+1)}{2} \\
&= \tfrac{r}{2k(k+1)} \left(k(k+1) - 2 - k(r+1)\right) \\
&= \tfrac{r}{2k(k+1)} \left(k(k-r) - 2\right) \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If k is odd, then $a_k = (k-1)/(k(k^2-3))$ and $b_k = (k^2-k-2)/(k(k^2-3))$, which implies the following (as $0 < r < k$ and $k \geq 3$).

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{r}{2} - a_k r - b_k|E(C)| &\geq \tfrac{r}{2} - \tfrac{(k-1)r}{k(k^2-3)} - \tfrac{r(r+1)(k^2-k-2)}{2k(k^2-3)} \\
&= \tfrac{r}{2k(k^2-3)} \left(k(k^2-3) - 2(k-1) - (k^2-k-2)(r+1)\right) \\
&\geq \tfrac{r}{2k(k^2-3)} \left(k^3 - 5k + 2 - (k^2-k-2)k\right) \\
&\geq \tfrac{r}{2k(k^2-3)} \left(k^2 - 3k + 2\right) \\
&\geq \tfrac{r(k-1)(k-2)}{2k(k^2-3)} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In both cases, $\frac{r}{2} - a_k r - b_k|E(C)| \geq 0$. This implies the following.

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) < a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot c(G').$$

As $\beta_i(G', X) = \beta_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [4]$ and $\beta_5(G', X) < \beta_5(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\beta_1(G, X), \beta_2(G, X), \ldots, \beta_5(G, X))$. (□)

We now return to the proof of Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all components of G, and so $|\mathcal{C}(G)| = c(G)$.

Claim F *If $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(|V(C)| + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(C - X_C)\right) \geq a_k \cdot |V(C)| \; + \; b_k \cdot |E(C)| - a_k.$$

Proof. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and let $X_C = X \cap V(C)$. By Claim A, $\beta_1(G, X) = 0$, and so there is no edge in $G[X_C]$. By Claim D, $\beta_4(G, X) = 0$, and so every component in $C - X_C$ has exactly one edge to X_C. Since C is connected, we therefore note that $|X_C| = 1$. Let $X_c = \{x\}$. By Claim C, $\beta_3(G, X) = 0$, and so $d_G(x) = d_C(x) = k$. Let n_i denote that number of components in $C - X_C$ of order i, and so

$$\mathrm{oc}(C - X_C) = k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i.$$

By Claim B, $\beta_2(G, X) = 0$ and by Claim E, $\beta_5(G, X) = 0$, implying that all n_i are zero except possibly if $i = 1$ or $i \geq k + 1$ and i is odd. We note that the expression $\frac{1}{2}(|V(C)| + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(C - X_C))$ can be written in terms of k and ε_k as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(|V(C)| + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(C - X_C)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(|X_C| + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i\right) + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X_G)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i\right) - (1 - \varepsilon_k)\,\mathrm{oc}(G - X_G) - \varepsilon_k\,\mathrm{oc}(G - X_G)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i\right) - (1 - \varepsilon_k)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i\right) - \varepsilon_k\, k\right) \\
&= 1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) k + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (i - 1 + \varepsilon_k)\,\frac{n_i}{2} \\
&= 1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) k + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) n_1 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (i - 1 + \varepsilon_k)\,\frac{n_i}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$a_k = \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{2 - k\varepsilon_k}{2k}.$$

Hence, the expression $\frac{1}{2}(|V(C)| + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(C - X_C))$ can be written as follows.

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(|V(C)| + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(C - X_C)\right) = kb_k + a_k n_1 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (i - 1 + \varepsilon_k)\,\frac{n_i}{2}. \tag{2}$$

We consider two cases, depending on the parity of k.

Case 1. k is even. For all components, C^*, in $C - X_C$ we note that C^* is not k-regular. Further, if C^* has order r, then since k is even, $|E(C^*)| \leq (rk-2)/2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_k \cdot |V(C)| + b_k \cdot |E(C)| - a_k \\
&\leq a_k \left(|X_C| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i \right) + b_k \left(k + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{ki-2}{2} \right) - a_k \\
&= a_k \left(1 + n_1 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i \right) + b_k \left(k + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{ki-2}{2} \right) - a_k \\
&= kb_k + a_k n_1 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \left(a_i \cdot i + b_k \left(\frac{ki-2}{2} \right) \right) n_i. \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

By Equation (2) and Inequality (3), we note that the desired result follows if the following is true for all $i \geq k+1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(i-1+\varepsilon_k) &\geq a_k \cdot i + b_k \left(\frac{ki-2}{2} \right) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
\frac{1}{2}(i-1+\varepsilon_k) &\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \right) i + \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \right) \left(\frac{ki-2}{2} \right) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
2k(i-1+\varepsilon_k) &\geq 2k\varepsilon_k i + (2-k\varepsilon_k)(ki-2) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
2ki - 2k + 2k\varepsilon_k &\geq 2k\varepsilon_k i + 2ki - ik^2\varepsilon_k - 4 + 2k\varepsilon_k \\
\Updownarrow & \\
ik\varepsilon_k(k-2) &\geq 2k-4 \\
\Uparrow & \\
(k+1)k\varepsilon_k(k-2) &\geq 2(k-2) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
\varepsilon_k &\geq \frac{2}{k(k+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

The above clearly holds since in this case when k is even, $\varepsilon_k = 2/(k(k+1)$.

Case 2. $k \geq 3$ is odd. In this case, we note that all n_i are zero except possibly if $i = 1$ or $i \geq k+2$ and i is odd. In particular, we note that in Equation (2) the term $n_{k+1} = 0$. For all components, C^*, in $C - X_C$ we note that C^* is not k-regular. Further, if C^* has order r, then since k is odd, $|E(C^*)| \leq (rk-1)/2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_k \cdot |V(C)| + b_k \cdot |E(C)| - a_k \\
&\leq\ a_k \left(|X_C| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i \right) + b_k \left(k + \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{ki-1}{2} \right) n_i \right) - a_k \\
&=\ a_k \left(1 + n_1 + \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} i \cdot n_i \right) + b_k \left(k + \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{ki-1}{2} \right) n_i \right) - a_k \\
&=\ k b_k + a_k n_1 + \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \left(a_k \cdot i + b_k \left(\frac{ki-1}{2} \right) \right) n_i. \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Equation (2) and Inequality (4), we note that the desired result follows if the following is true for all $i \geq k+2$.

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\frac{1}{2}(i-1+\varepsilon_k) & \geq & a_k \cdot i + b_k \left(\frac{ki-1}{2} \right) \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
\frac{1}{2}(i-1+\varepsilon_k) & \geq & \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} i + \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \right) \left(\frac{ki-1}{2} \right) \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
2k(i-1+\varepsilon_k) & \geq & 2k\varepsilon_k i + (2 - k\varepsilon_k)(ki-1) \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
2ki - 2k + 2k\varepsilon_k & \geq & 2k\varepsilon_k i + 2ki - ik^2\varepsilon_k - 2 + k\varepsilon_k \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
ik\varepsilon_k(k-2) & \geq & 2k - k\varepsilon_k - 2 \\
\Uparrow & & \\
(k+2)k\varepsilon_k(k-2) & \geq & 2k - k\varepsilon_k - 2 \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
k\varepsilon_k(k^2-4+1) & \geq & 2k-2 \\
\Updownarrow & & \\
\varepsilon_k & \geq & \frac{2k-2}{k(k^2-3)}
\end{array}
$$

The above clearly holds in this case when k is odd, $\varepsilon_k = (2k-2)/(k(k^2-3))$. This completes the proof of Claim F. (□)

Applying Claim F to each component C in $\mathcal{C}$, the following holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(n + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)) &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2}(|V(C)| + |X_C| - \mathrm{oc}(C - X_C)) \\
&\geq \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} (a_k \cdot |V(C)| + b_k \cdot |E(C)| - a_k) \\
&= a_k \cdot |V(G)| + b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot c(G),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts Inequality (1), thereby proving the theorem. □

5 Proof of Theorem 5

For all even $k \geq 4$, let

$$a_k = \frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}.$$

We note that for $k \geq 2$ even,

$$k\, b_k \,-\, a_k \,= \frac{k(k+2)-(k-2)}{k^2+k+2} \,=\, 1.$$

Theorem 5 can now be restated as follows.

Theorem 5 *Let $k \geq 2$ be an even integer and let G be any graph of order n and size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$. If no component of G is k-regular, then $\alpha'(G) \geq b_k \cdot m - a_k \cdot n$.*

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $K_{k+1} - e$ denote the complete graph on $k+1$ vertices after removing one edge. Define the following five values of a graph G^* and vertex set $X^* \subseteq V(G^*)$.

(1): $\xi_1(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of edges in $G^*[X^*]$.

(2): $\xi_2(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of even components in $G^* - X^*$.

(3): $\xi_3(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of vertices in X^* with degree less than k.

(4): $\xi_4(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of components in $G^* - X^*$ that do not have exactly one edge to X^*.

(5): $\xi_5(G^*, X^*)$ is the number of odd components in $G^* - X^*$ not isomorphic to $K_{k+1} - e$.

For the sake of contradiction suppose that the theorem is false and that G is a counter example to the theorem. That is, G has maximum degree at most k and no component of G is k-regular and $\alpha'(G) < b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot |V(G)|$. By Theorem 1 (the Tutte-Berge formula) we may assume that G and X are chosen such that the following holds.

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(n + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) < b_k \cdot |E(G)| \,-\, a_k \cdot |V(G)|. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore we may assume that $(\xi_1(G,X), \xi_2(G,X), \ldots, \xi_5(G,X))$ is lexicographically minimum of all G and X satisfying the above. Note that if G is not connected, then one of the components of G is also a counter example to the theorem and this component either has the same value of $(\xi_1(G,X), \xi_2(G,X), \ldots, \xi_5(G,X))$ or smaller. We may therefore assume that G is connected, for otherwise we consider the before mentioned component of G. We proceed further with the following series of claims.

Claim I $\xi_1(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\xi_1(G,X) \geq 1$, and let $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ be arbitrary where $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Delete the edge x_1x_2 and add a new vertex u and the edges x_1u and ux_2. Let G' be the resulting graph. We note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + 1$, $|E(G')| = |E(G)| + 1$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1$. Also, no component in G' is k-regular (as if any component in G' is k-regular, then the corresponding component in G would also be k-regular). The following now holds, as $b_k > a_k$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| + 1) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) \\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot |V(G)| \\
&< b_k(|E(G)| + 1) - a_k(|V(G)| + 1) \\
&= b_k \cdot |E(G')| - a_k \cdot |V(G')|.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\xi_1(G',X) < \xi_1(G,X)$ this contradicts the lexicographical minimality of $(\xi_1(G,X), \xi_2(G,X), \ldots, \xi_5(G,X))$. (□)

Claim II $\xi_2(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\xi_2(G,X) \geq 1$. Let C be an even component C in $G-X$, and let u be a leaf in some spanning tree of C. In this case $C-\{u\}$ is connected. Let $G' = G-u$ and note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| - 1$, $|E(G')| \geq |E(G)| - k$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1$. The following now holds (as we have shown that $kb_k - a_k = 1$).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| - 1) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) - 1 \\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G)| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G)| - 1 \\
&\leq b_k \cdot (|E(G')| + k) \; - \; a_k \cdot (|V(G')| + 1) - 1 \\
&= b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')|.
\end{aligned}$$

Any component in G' is either a component in G or contains a vertex of degree at most $k-1$ (adjacent to u in G), which implies that no component of G' is k-regular. Furthermore as $\xi_1(G',X) = \xi_1(G,X) = 0$ and $\xi_2(G',X) < \xi_2(G,X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\xi_1(G,X), \xi_2(G,X), \ldots, \xi_5(G,X))$. (□)

Claim III $\xi_3(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\xi_3(G,X) \geq 1$. Let x be a vertex in X with $d_G(x) < k$. Let G' be obtained by adding $s = k - d_G(x)$ new vertices $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s$ and the edges $u_1x, u_2x, \ldots, u_sx$ to G. Note that $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + s$ and $|E(G')| = |E(G)| + s$ and $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s$. The following now holds, as $b_k > a_k$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) &= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| + s) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) \\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G)| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G)| \\
&= b_k \cdot (|E(G')| - s) \; - \; a_k \cdot (|V(G')| - s) \\
&= b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; - \; s(b_k - a_k) \\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')|.
\end{aligned}$$

Any component in G' is either a component in G or contains vertices of degree $1 < k$ (adjacent to x in G), which implies that no component of G' is k-regular. As $\xi_i(G',X) = \xi_i(G,X)$ for $i \in [2]$ and $\xi_3(G',X) < \xi_3(G,X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\xi_1(G,X), \xi_2(G,X), \ldots, \xi_5(G,X))$. (□)

Claim IV $\xi_4(G,X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\xi_4(G,X) \geq 1$. Let C be an odd component in $G - X$ with s edges to X, where $s \neq 1$. Let $q = |V(C)|$.

Suppose that $s = 0$, which as G is connected (which was proved before Claim I) implies that $|X| = 0$. By Claim II we note that q is odd. By Equation (5) the following holds.

$$\frac{q-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(n + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) < b_k \cdot |E(G)| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G)|. \tag{6}$$

Suppose that $q \leq k$. In this case, we note that $|E(C)| \leq \binom{q}{2}$, which implies the following.

$$\frac{q-1}{2} < b_k \cdot |E(G)| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G)| \leq b_k \cdot \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \; - \; a_k \cdot q = q\left(\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right) b_k \; - \; a_k\right).$$

If $q = 1$, then $b_k \cdot |E(G)| - a_k \cdot |V(G)| \leq -a_k < 0 = (q-1)/2$, a contradiction. By Claim II we note that q is odd, and so $q \geq 3$, which implies that $b_k \frac{(q-1)}{2} - a_k > 0$. Therefore the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{q-1}{2} &< q\left(b_k\tfrac{(q-1)}{2} - a_k\right)\\
&\leq k\left(b_k\tfrac{(q-1)}{2} - a_k\right)\\
&= k\left(\tfrac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\times\tfrac{(q-1)}{2} - \tfrac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)\\
&= \tfrac{q-1}{2} + \left(\tfrac{q-1}{2}\right)\left(\tfrac{k(k+2)}{k^2+k+2} - 1\right) - \tfrac{k(k-2)}{k^2+k+2}\\
&= \tfrac{q-1}{2} + \left(\tfrac{q-1}{2}\right)\left(\tfrac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) - k\left(\tfrac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)\\
&= \tfrac{q-1}{2} + \left(\tfrac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)\left(\tfrac{q-1}{2} - k\right)\\
&< \tfrac{q-1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is clearly a contradiction, which implies that, $q \geq k+1$. Therefore, since G is not k-regular, $|E(G)| \leq \frac{kq}{2} - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{q-1}{2} &< b_k\left(\tfrac{qk-2}{2}\right) - a_k\cdot q\\
&= \tfrac{q}{2}\left(kb_k - a_k\right) - \tfrac{a_kq}{2} - b_k\\
&= \tfrac{q}{2} - \tfrac{a_kq}{2} - b_k\\
&\leq \tfrac{q}{2} - \tfrac{a_k(k+1)}{2} - b_k\\
&= \tfrac{q}{2} - \tfrac{(k-2)(k+1)}{2(k^2+k+2)} - \tfrac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\\
&= \tfrac{q}{2} - \tfrac{k^2+k+2}{2(k^2+k+2)}\\
&= \tfrac{q-1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction which implies that $s \geq 2$. Recall that C is an odd component in $G - X$ with s edges to X. Let H_{k+1} denote $K_{k+1} - e$ and let the two vertices of H_{k+1} with degree $k-1$ be called link vertices. Let $x_1u_1, x_2u_2, \ldots, x_su_s$ be distinct edges from $x_i \in X$ to $u_i \in V(C)$ for $i \in [s]$. Let G' be obtained from G by adding $s-1$ vertex disjoint copies, $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{s-1}$, of H_{k+1}, and deleting the edges $x_1u_1, x_2u_2, \ldots, x_{s-1}u_{s-1}$ and adding an edge from x_i to a link vertex of G_i for all $i \in [s-1]$. Since no component of G is k-regular, it is not difficult to see that no component of G' is k-regular. Note that the following holds.

- $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + (s-1)(k+1)$
- $|E(G')| = |E(G)| + (s-1)\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1\right)$
- $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s - 1$

This implies the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| + (s-1)(k+1)) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X) + s - 1)) \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) + \tfrac{(s-1)(k+1)}{2} - \tfrac{s-1}{2} \\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G)| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G)| + \tfrac{k(s-1)}{2} \\
&= b_k \cdot \left(|E(G')| - (s-1)\left(\tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right)\right) \; - \; a_k \cdot (|V(G')| - (s-1)(k+1)) + \tfrac{k(s-1)}{2} \\
&= b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| \; + \; (s-1)\left(\tfrac{k}{2} - b_k\left(\tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\xi_i(G', X) = \xi_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [3]$ and $\xi_4(G', X) < \xi_4(G, X)$ we would obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\xi_1(G, X), \xi_2(G, X), \ldots, \xi_5(G, X))$ if the following holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \tfrac{k}{2} - b_k\left(\tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
\tfrac{k}{2} &\leq \tfrac{k+2}{k^2+k+2} \times \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2} - \left(\tfrac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)(k+1) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
k(k^2+k+2) &\leq (k+2)(k^2+k-2) - 2(k-2)(k+1) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
k^3+k^2+2k &\leq k^3+3k^2-4-(2k^2-2k-4) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
k^3+k^2+2k &\leq k^3+k^2+2k
\end{aligned}
$$

As the last statement is clearly true, Claim IV is proved. (□)

Claim V $\xi_5(G, X) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\xi_5(G, X) \geq 1$. Let C be a component in $G - X$ which in not isomorphic to $K_{k+1} - e$. By Claim II and Claim IV we note that C is odd and has exactly one edge to X. Let $q = |V(C)|$ and let G' be obtained from G by deleting C and adding a copy of $K_{k+1} - e$ and adding an edge from a degree $k-1$ vertex in $K_{k+1} - e$ to the vertex of X that was adjacent to a vertex of C in G. The following now holds.

- $|V(G')| = |V(G)| + k + 1 - q$
- $|E(G')| = |E(G)| + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1 - |E(C)|$
- $\mathrm{oc}(G' - X) = \mathrm{oc}(G - X)$

Since no component of G is k-regular, it is not difficult to see that no component of G' is k-regular. The following now holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right)\\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}((|V(G)| + k + 1 - q) + |X| - (\mathrm{oc}(G - X))\\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G)| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G - X)\right) + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2}\\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G)| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G)| + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2}\\
&= b_k \cdot \left(|E(G')| - \tfrac{k(k+1)}{2} + 1 + |E(C)|\right) \; - \; a_k \cdot (|V(G')| - (k+1-q)) + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2}\\
&= b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k \left(|E(C)| - \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q).
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now consider the cases when $q \leq k$ and $q \geq k+1$ seperately. First consider the case when $q \leq k$. In this case, $|E(C)| \leq q(q-1)/2$ and the above implies the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G' - X)\right)\\
&< b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k \left(|E(C)| - \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q)\\
&\leq b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k \left(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2} - \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q).
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\xi_i(G', X) = \xi_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [4]$ and $\xi_5(G', X) < \xi_5(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\xi_1(G, X), \xi_2(G, X), \ldots, \xi_5(G, X))$ if the following holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k \left(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2} - \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q) &\leq 0\\
\Updownarrow\\
-q + b_k q(q-1) - 2a_k q &\leq b_k(k^2+k-2) - (k+1) - 2a_k(k+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $1 \leq q \leq k$. Differentiating the left-hand-side twice with respect to q we note that the largest value of $-q + b_k q(q-1) - 2a_k q$ in the interval $[1, q]$ is obtained at the end points of the interval, when $q = 1$ or $q = k$. When $q = 1$,

$$
-q + b_k q(q-1) - 2a_k q = -1 - 2a_k
$$

and when $q = k$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-q + b_k q(q-1) - 2a_k q &= -k + b_k k(k-1) - 2a_k k\\
&= -1 + (k-1)(-1 + b_k k - a_k) - a_k(k+1)\\
&= -1 - a_k(k+1)\\
&< -1 - 2a_k.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the following holds.

$$\frac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k\left(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} - \frac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q) \leq 0$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-q + b_k q(q-1) - 2a_k q &\leq b_k(k^2+k-2) - (k+1) - 2a_k(k+1) \\
\Uparrow & \\
-1 - 2a_k &\leq b_k(k^2+k-2) - (k+1) - 2a_k(k+1) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
0 &\leq b_k(k^2+k) - 2b_k - (k+1) - 2a_k(k+1) + 1 + 2a_k \\
\Updownarrow & \\
0 &\leq (k+1)(kb_k - a_k - 1) - 2b_k - a_k(k+1) + 1 + 2a_k \\
\Updownarrow & \\
0 &\leq -2b_k - (k-1)a_k + 1 \\
\Updownarrow & \\
0 &\leq \tfrac{-2(k+2)}{k^2+k+2} - \tfrac{(k-1)(k-2)}{k^2+k+2} + \tfrac{k^2+k+2}{k^2+k+2} \\
\Updownarrow & \\
0 &\leq \tfrac{(-2k-4)-(k^2-3k+2)+(k^2+k+2)}{k^2+k+2} \\
\Updownarrow & \\
0 &\leq \tfrac{2k-4}{k^2+k+2}
\end{aligned}$$

As the last statement is true we have completed the proof for the case when $q \leq k$. Now consider the case when $q \geq k+1$. In this case $|E(C)| \leq \frac{qk}{2} - 1$ (as C is not k-regular) and the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(|V(G')| + |X| - \mathrm{oc}(G'-X)\right) \\
&< \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k\left(|E(C)| - \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q) \\
&\leq \; b_k \cdot |E(G')| \; - \; a_k \cdot |V(G')| + \tfrac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k\left(\tfrac{qk-2}{2} - \tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\xi_i(G', X) = \xi_i(G, X)$ for $i \in [4]$ and $\xi_5(G', X) < \xi_5(G, X)$ we obtain a contradiction to the lexicographical minimality of $(\xi_1(G, X), \xi_2(G, X), \ldots, \xi_5(G, X))$ if the following holds

$$\frac{k+1-q}{2} + b_k\left(\frac{qk-2}{2} - \frac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right) + a_k(k+1-q) \leq 0$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q(-1 + kb_k - 2a_k) &\leq b_k(k^2+k-2+2) - (k+1) - 2a_k(k+1) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
q(-1+1-a_k) &\leq b_k k(k+1) - (k+1) - 2a_k(k+1) \\
\Uparrow & \\
(k+1)(-a_k) &\leq (k+1)\left(b_k k - 1 - 2a_k\right) \\
\Updownarrow & \\
-a_k &\leq (b_k k - a_k) - 1 - a_k \\
\Updownarrow & \\
-a_k &\leq -a_k
\end{aligned}$$

The last statement is clearly true which completes the proof of Claim V. (□)

We now return to the proof of Theorem 5. As mentioned before the statement of Claim I, we may assume that G is connected. By Claim I, $\xi_1(G,X)=0$, and so there is no edge in $G[X]$. By Claim IV, $\xi_4(G,X)=0$, and so every component in $C-X$ has exactly one edge to X. Since C is connected, we therefore note that $|X|=1$. Let $X=\{x\}$. By Claim III, $\xi_3(G,X)=0$, and so $d_G(x)=k$. By Claim V, every component in $C-X$ is isomorphic to $K_{k+1}-e$, which implies the following.

- $|V(G)|=k(k+1)+1=k^2+k+1$.
- $|E(G)|=k\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-1\right)+d(x)=k\left(\frac{k^2+k-2}{2}\right)+k$.
- $\alpha'(G)=k\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)+1=\frac{k^2+2}{2}$.

Therefore, the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
b_k|E(G)|-a_k|V(G)| &= \tfrac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\times k\times\left(\tfrac{k^2+k-2}{2}+1\right)-\tfrac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\times(k^2+k+1)\\
&= \tfrac{1}{2(k^2+k+2)}\left(k(k+2)(k^2+k)-2(k-2)(k^2+k+2)\right)\\
&= \tfrac{(k^4+3k^3+2k^2)-(2k^3-2k^2-2k-4)}{2(k^2+k+2)}\\
&= \tfrac{k^4+k^3+4k^2+2k+4}{2(k^2+k+2)}\\
&= \tfrac{(k^2+2)(k^2+k+2)}{2(k^2+k+2)}\\
&= \tfrac{k^2+2}{2}\\
&= \alpha'(G).
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that G was a counter example to the theorem. □

6 Proof of Corollary 1

Recall the statement of Corollary 1.

Corollary 1 *If $k\geq 4$ is an even integer and G is a connected graph of order n, size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G)\leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G)\geq \frac{n}{k(k+1)}+\frac{m}{k+1}-\frac{1}{k(k+1)},$$

unless the following holds.

(a) *G is k-regular and $n=k+1$, in which case $\alpha'(G)=\frac{n-1}{2}=\frac{n}{k(k+1)}+\frac{m}{k+1}-\frac{1}{k}$.*
(b) *G is k-regular and $n=k+3$, in which case $\alpha'(G)=\frac{n-1}{2}=\frac{n}{k(k+1)}+\frac{m}{k+1}-\frac{3}{k(k+1)}$.*

Proof of Corollary 1. If G is not k-regular, then the result follows from Theorem 4, so assume that G is k-regular. By the k-regularity of G we have $nk = 2m$, which together with the observation that

$$\frac{k^2+2}{k^2+k} \leq \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2}.$$

when $k \geq 4$, implies the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} &= \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{2}{k(k+1)} + \frac{k}{k+1}\right) - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \\
&< \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{2}{k(k+1)} + \frac{k^2}{k(k+1)}\right) \\
&= \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{k^2+2}{k(k+1)}. \\
&\leq \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 we therefore have the following.

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \min\left\{\frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\} \geq \min\left\{\frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}.$$

As $\frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} < \frac{n}{2}$ by the above, this proves the theorem when n is even. So let n be odd. We will now determine when the following holds.

$$\frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} > \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Define r such that $n = k + r$ and note that the above is equivalent to the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} - \frac{n-1}{2} \\
&= n\left(\frac{2+k^2-k(k+1)}{2k(k+1)}\right) - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= (k+r)\left(\frac{2-k}{2k(k+1)}\right) + \frac{-2+k(k+1)}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{-k^2+2k+2r-kr}{2k(k+1)} + \frac{k^2+k-2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{3k+2r-kr-2}{2k(k+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to $3k-2-r(k-2)>0$, which is equivalent to the following (as $k \geq 4$).

$$r < \frac{3k-2}{k-2}.$$

When $k \geq 4$ this is equivalent to $r < 5$ (as r is odd) and therefore the following holds (as we already handled the case when n was even).

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha'(G) &\geq \min\left\{\frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\} \\
&= \begin{cases} \dfrac{n}{k(k+1)} + \dfrac{m}{k+1} - \dfrac{1}{k(k+1)} & \text{if } n \notin \{k+1, k+3\} \\ \dfrac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \in \{k+1, k+3\}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We will therefore determine θ such that the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n-1}{2} &= \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{\theta}{k(k+1)} \\
\Updownarrow & \\
2\theta &= 2n + 2km - (n-1)(k(k+1)) \\
&= 2n + nk^2 - n(k^2+k) + (k^2+k) \\
&= n(2-k) + k^2 + k \\
&= (k+r)(2-k) + k^2 + k \\
&= 3k + 2r - rk.
\end{aligned}$$

So, if $r=3$, then $\theta = 3$ and if $r=1$, then $\theta = k+1$. This completes the proof of Corollary 1. □

The lower bound in Corollary 1 is tight when G is k-regular and $n \in \{k+1, k+3\}$. We will furthermore show that they are tight for infinite classes of trees and infinite classes of graphs with any given average degree between 2 and $k - \frac{k-2}{k^2}$, implying that the corollaries are tight for almost all possible densities. Note that for small values of k the value $k - \frac{k-2}{k^2}$ is the following.

k	4	6	8	10	12	14
$k - \frac{k-2}{k^2}$	3.875	5.888...	7.906...	9.92	11.93...	13.938...

Table 3. The value $k - \frac{k-2}{k^2}$ for small values of $k \geq 4$ with k even.

We will illustrate how to obtain the above. Let $k \geq 4$ be even and let $r \geq 1$ be arbitrary and let $\ell = r(k-1)+1$. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$ be a number of vertex disjoint graphs such that each X_i where $i \in [\ell]$ is either a single vertex or it is a K_{k+1} where an arbitrary edge has been deleted. Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_r\}$ and build the graph $G_{k,r}$ as follows. Let $G_{k,r}$ be obtained from the disjoint union of the graphs $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$ by adding to it the vertices in Y and furthermore, for every $i \in [r]$, adding an edge from y_i to a vertex in each graph $X_{(i-1)(k-1)+1}$, $X_{(i-1)(k-1)+2}$, $X_{(i-1)(k-1)+3}, \ldots, X_{(i-1)(k-1)+k}$ in such a way that no vertex degree becomes more than k. Let $\mathcal{G}_{k,r}$ be the family of all such graph $G_{k,r}$.

When $k = 4$ and $r = 2$, an example of a graph G in the family $\mathcal{G}_{k,r}$ is illustrated in Figure 2, where G has order $n = 21$, size $m = 35$ and matching number $\alpha'(G) = 8$.



Figure 2: A graph G in the family $\mathcal{G}_{4,2}$

Proposition 1 *For $k \geq 4$ an even integer and $r \geq 1$ arbitrary, if $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k,r}$ has order n and size m, then*

$$\alpha'(G) = \frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k}.$$

Proof. Assume that in $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$ we have ℓ_1 single vertices and ℓ_2 copies of K_{k+1}'s minus an edge. Note that $\ell = \ell_1 + \ell_2$ and $n = r + \ell_1 + \ell_2(k+1)$. Furthermore we have $m = rk + \ell_2(k(k+1)/2 - 1)$ and $\alpha'(G) = r + \ell_2(k/2)$. Therefore the following holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n}{k(k+1)} + \frac{m}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{r+\ell_1+\ell_2(k+1)}{k(k+1)} + \frac{2rk+\ell_2(k^2+k-2)}{2(k+1)} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{r+(\ell-\ell_2)+\ell_2 k+\ell_2}{k(k+1)} + \frac{2rk^2+\ell_2 k(k^2+k-2)}{2k(k+1)} - \frac{2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{2r+2\ell+2\ell_2 k+2rk^2+\ell_2 k(k^2+k-2)-2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{2r+2(r(k-1)+1)+2rk^2+\ell_2 k(k^2+k)-2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{2r+2rk-2r+2+2rk^2+\ell_2 k^2(k+1)-2}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{2r(k^2+k)+\ell_2 k^2(k+1)}{2k(k+1)} \\
&= r+\frac{1}{2}k\ell_2 \\
&= \alpha'(G). \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 1, the lower bound on the matching number in Corollary 1 is tight for every graph in the family $\mathcal{G}_{k,r}$. We remark that if all $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$ used to construct a graph in the family $\mathcal{G}_{k,r}$ are single vertices, then clearly we have a tree and as $r \geq 1$ was arbitrary we obtain an infinite class of trees where the corollaries are tight. If all $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$ are copies of K_{k+1} minus an edge, then we denote the resulting subfamily of graphs of $\mathcal{G}_{k,r}$ by $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$. Hence, each graph in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$ achieves the lower bound in Corollary 1. We remark, further, that if we build a graph in the family $\mathcal{G}_{k,r}$ using only single vertices for the copies of X_i for each $i \in [\ell]$, then the resulting graph G is a tree. Hence, as an immediate consequence of Proposition 1 and the above observations, we have the following result.

Proposition 2 *The lower bound in Corollary 1 is achieved for both trees and for the class of graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$.*

We note that the average degree of a graph in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$ is the following, as $n = \ell(k+1)+r$ and there are $\ell-(r-1)$ vertices of degree $k-1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V(G)} d(v) &= \frac{1}{n}\left(nk-(\ell-(r-1))\right) \\
&= k-\frac{1}{n}(\ell-r+1) \\
&= k-\frac{r(k-1)+1-r+1}{(r(k-1)+1)(k+1)+r} \\
&= k-\frac{r(k-2)+2}{rk^2+k+1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

So when r is large the average degree can get arbitrarily close to $k - \frac{k-2}{k^2}$. Clearly, as the average degree of a tree is less than 2, the average degree can be made arbitrarily close to any β where $2 \leq \beta < k - \frac{k-2}{k^2}$ by picking the correct proportion of single vertices in $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_\ell$.

7 Proof of Corollary 2

Recall the statement of Corollary 2.

Corollary 2 *If $k \geq 3$ is an odd integer and G is a connected graph of order n, size m, and with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right) m - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

Proof of Corollary 2. If G is not k-regular, then the result follows from Theorem 4, so assume that G is k-regular. By the k-regularity of G we have $nk = 2m$, which implies the following by Theorem 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right) m - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)} \\
&= \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k(k^2-k-2)}{2k(k^2-3)}\right) n - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)} \\
&= \frac{(k^3-k^2-2)\,n - 2k + 2}{2k(k^2-3)} \\
&\overset{(Thm\ 3)}{\leq} \alpha'(G). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We show that the lower bound on the matching number in Corollary 2 is tight for infinite classes of trees and other infinite classes (including the class of k-regular graphs) of connected graphs with maximum degree at most k.

For $k \geq 3$ odd, let H_{k+2} be the graph of (odd) order $k+2$ obtained from K_{k+2} by removing the edges of an almost perfect matching; that is, the complement $\overline{H_{k+2}}$ of H_{k+2} is isomorphic to $P_3 \cup (\frac{k-1}{2})P_2$. We note that every vertex in H_{k+2} has degree k, except for exactly one vertex, which has degree $k-1$. We call the vertex of degree $k-1$ in H_{k+2} the *link vertex* of H_{k+2}. We note that H_{k+2} has size $m(H_{k+2}) = \frac{1}{2}(k^2+2k-1)$.

For $k \geq 3$ odd and $r \geq 1$ arbitrary, let $T_{k,r}$ be a tree with maximum degree at most k and with partite sets V_1 and V_2, where $|V_2| = r$. Let $H_{k,r}$ be obtained from $T_{k,r}$ as follows: For every vertex x in V_2 with $d_{T_{k,r}}(x) < k$, add $k - d_{T_{k,r}}(x)$ copies of the subgraph H_{k+2} to $T_{k,r}$ and in each added copy of H_{k+2}, join the link vertex of H_{k+2} to x. We note that every vertex in the resulting graph $H_{k,r}$ has degree k, except possibly for vertices in the set V_1 whose degrees belong to the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{k,r}$ be the family of all such graph $H_{k,r}$.

When $k = 3$ and $r = 4$, an example of a graph G in the family $\mathcal{H}_{k,r}$ is illustrated in Figure 3, where G has order $n = 29$, size $m = 40$ and matching number $\alpha'(G) = 12$.



Figure 3: A graph G in the family $\mathcal{H}_{3,4}$

Proposition 3 *For $k \geq 3$ an odd integer and $r \geq 1$ arbitrary, if $G \in \mathcal{H}_{k,r}$ has order n and size m, then*

$$\alpha'(G) = \left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right) n + \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right) m - \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

Proof. Let $G \cong H_{k,r} \in \mathcal{H}_{k,r}$ have order n and size m. Suppose that ℓ copies of the graph H_{k+2} were added when constructing the graph G. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= k|V_2| - \sum_{x \in V_2} d_{T_{k,r}}(x) \\ &= k|V_2| - m(T_{k,r}) \\ &= k|V_2| - (|V_1| + |V_2| - 1) \\ &= (k-1)|V_2| - |V_1| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The graph G has order

$$\begin{aligned} n = n(G) &= |V_1| + |V_2| + \ell(k+2) \\ &= |V_1| + |V_2| + ((k-1)|V_2| - |V_1| + 1)(k+2) \\ &= (k^2+k-1)|V_2| - (k+1)|V_1| + (k+2). \end{aligned}$$

and size

$$\begin{aligned} m = m(G) &= k|V_2| + \tfrac{1}{2}\ell(k^2+2k-1) \\ &= k|V_2| + \tfrac{1}{2}((k-1)|V_2| - |V_1| + 1)(k^2+2k-1) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(k^3+k^2-k+1)|V_2| - \tfrac{1}{2}(k^2+2k-1)|V_1| + \tfrac{1}{2}(k^2+2k-1). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by deleting the vertices V_2 from G we obtain $\ell + |V_1| = (k-1)|V_2| + 1$ odd components. Therefore, by Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha'(G) &\leq |V(G)| + |V_2| - \mathrm{oc}(G - V_2) \\ &= ((k^2+k-1)|V_2| - (k+1)|V_1| + (k+2)) + |V_2| - ((k-1)|V_2| + 1) \\ &= (k^2+1)|V_2| - (k+1)|V_1| + (k+1). \end{aligned}$$

However, the lower bound of Corollary 2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\alpha'(G) \\
\geq\ & 2\left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right)n \,+\, 2\left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right)m \,-\, \frac{2(k-1)}{k(k^2-3)} \\
=\ & 2\left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right)((k^2+k-1)|V_2|-(k+1)|V_1|+(k+2)) \\
& +\left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right)\left((k^3+k^2-k+1)|V_2|-(k^2+2k-1)|V_1|+(k^2+2k-1)\right) \\
& -\frac{2(k-1)}{k(k^2-3)} \\
=\ & \left(\frac{k^5-2k^3-3k}{k(k^2-3)}\right)|V_2|-\left(\frac{k^4+k^3-3k^2-3k}{k(k^2-3)}\right)|V_1|+\left(\frac{k^4+k^3-3k^2-3k}{k(k^2-3)}\right) \\
=\ & (k^2+1)|V_2|-(k+1)|V_1|+(k+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we must have equality throughout the above inequality chains. In particular,

$$\alpha'(G)=\left(\frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}\right)n \,+\, \left(\frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}\right)m \,-\, \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

We remark that if the tree $T_{k,r}$ used to construct the graph $H_{k,r}$ is chosen so that every vertex in V_2 has degree k, then $H_{k,r}$ is a tree. If, however, tree $T_{k,r}$ used to construct the graph $H_{k,r}$ is chosen so that every vertex in V_1 has degree k, then $H_{k,r}$ is a k-regular graph. Hence, as an immediate consequence of Proposition 3, we have the following result.

Proposition 4 *The lower bound in Corollary 2 is achieved for an infinite class of both trees and k-regular graphs.*

8 Proof of Corollary 3

Recall the statement of Corollary 3.

Corollary 3 *If $k \geq 4$ is an even integer and G is a graph of order n, size m and maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq k$, then*

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right)m \,-\, \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)n$$

unless the following holds.

(a) *G is k-regular and $n = k+1$, in which case $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right)m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}n\right) - \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}$.*

(b) *G is k-regular and $n = k+3$, in which case* $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{4}{k^2+k+2}$.

(c) *G is 4-regular and $n = 9$, in which case* $\alpha'(G) \geq \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \frac{2}{k^2+k+2}$.

Proof of Corollary 3. If G is not k-regular, then the result follows from Theorem 5, so assume that G is k-regular. By the k-regularity of G we have $nk = 2m$, which implies the following

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n &= \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{nk}{2} - \left(\frac{2k-4}{2(k^2+k+2)}\right) n \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{k(k+2)-(2k-4)}{k^2+k+2} \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2}\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2 to the k-regular graph G, the matching number of G is bounded below as follows.

$$\alpha'(G) \geq \min\left\{\frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}$$

Therefore we have shown that the corollary holds in all cases, except when

$$\frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{n}{2} > \alpha'(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

So assume that this exceptional case occurs. Since $k \geq 4$, we note that in this case

$$\frac{n-1}{2} \leq \alpha'(G) < \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{n}{2} < 1 \times \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2},$$

implying that $\alpha'(G) = (n-1)/2$, and so $n \geq k+1$ is odd. Thus, $n = k+i$ for some $i \geq 1$ odd. This implies the following.

$$\begin{aligned}b_k|E(G)| - a_k|V(G)| - \alpha'(G) &= \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right) m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right) n - \alpha'(G) \\ &= \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{k+i}{2} - \frac{(k+i-1)(k^2+k+2)}{2(k^2+k+2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k^2+k+2)}\left((k+i)(k^2+4) - (k+i-1)(k^2+k+2)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k^2+k+2)}\left((k+i)(2-k) + k^2+k+2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k^2+k+2)}\left(3k+2-i(k-2)\right),\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\alpha'(G) = b_k|E(G)| - a_k|V(G)| - \frac{3k+2-i(k-2)}{2(k^2+k+2)}. \tag{7}$$

This proves the case when $n = k+1$, as when $i = 1$ the right-hand side of Equation (7) is equivalent to the lower bound on $\alpha'(G)$ in the statement of Corollary 3(a). We also get the case when $n = k+3$, as when $i = 3$ we again note that the the right-hand side of Equation (7) is equivalent to the lower bound on $\alpha'(G)$ in the statement of Corollary 3(b). When $n = k+5$, we have $i = 5$ and in this case $3k+2-i(k-2) = 12-2k$. When $k = 4$, the right-hand side of Equation (7) is equivalent to the lower bound on $\alpha'(G)$ in the statement of Corollary 3(c). When $k \geq 6$, we note that $12-2k \leq 0$, implying that

$$\alpha'(G) \geq b_k|E(G)| - a_k|V(G)| = \frac{k^2+4}{k^2+k+2} \times \frac{n}{2},$$

a contradiction to our exceptional case. When $n \geq k+7$, then $i \geq 7$ and $3k+2-i(k-2) \leq 16-4k \leq 0$, implying that $\alpha'(G) \geq b_k|E(G)| - a_k|V(G)|$, again a contradiction to our exceptional case. □

Following the notation in the proof of Proposition 1, for $k \geq 4$ an even integer and $r \geq 1$ arbitrary, let G be a graph in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$ of order n and size m. We recall that contains ℓ copies of $K_{k+1} - e$ with $\ell = r(k-1)+1$. Note that in this case, $n = r + \ell(k+1)$, $m = rk + \frac{1}{2}\ell(k^2+k-2)$ and $\alpha'(G) = r + \frac{1}{2}\ell k$. Therefore the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right)m - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)n \\
&= \left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right)\left(rk + \frac{\ell(k^2+k-2)}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)(r+\ell(k+1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(k^2+k+2)}\left(rk(k+2) - r(k+2)\right) \\
&\qquad + \frac{\ell}{2(k^2+k+2)}\left((k+2)(k^2+k-2) - 2(k-2)(k+1)\right) \\
&= \frac{2r(k^2+k+2)}{2(k^2+k+2)} + \frac{\ell(k^3+k^2+2k)}{2(k^2+k+2)} \\
&= r + \frac{1}{2}\ell k \\
&= \alpha'(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lower bound in Corollary 3 is tight for the class of graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$. We show next that Corollary 3 is tight for an infinite family of k-regular graphs. For $k \geq 4$ an even integer and $r \geq 1$ arbitrary, let $F_{k,r}$ be a graph of order n and size m obtained of the disjoint union of $kr/2$ copies of $K_{k+1} - e$ by adding a set X of r new vertices, and adding edges between X and the kr link vertices of degree $k-1$ in the copies of $K_{k+1} - e$ in such a way that $F_{k,r}$ is a connected, k-regular graph. We note that $n = r + \frac{1}{2}kr(k+1)$,

$m = rk + \frac{1}{2}kr \times \frac{1}{2}(k^2+k-2) = rk + \frac{1}{4}k(k^2+k-2)r$ and $\alpha'(G) = r + \frac{1}{2}kr \times \frac{1}{2}k = r + \frac{1}{4}k^2 r$. Therefore the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}\right)m \;-\; \left(\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}\right)n \\
&= \left(\frac{r(k+2)}{k^2+k+2}\right)\left(k + \frac{k(k^2+k-2)}{4}\right) \;-\; \left(\frac{r(k-2)}{k^2+k+2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{r(k+2)}{k^2+k+2}\right)\left(\frac{k(k^2+k+2)}{4}\right) \;-\; \left(\frac{r(k-2)}{k^2+k+2}\right)\left(\frac{k^2+k+2}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}rk(k+2) \;-\; \frac{1}{2}r(k-2) \\
&= r + \frac{1}{4}k^2 r \\
&= \alpha'(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Corollary 3 is tight for an infinite family of k-regular graphs. We state these results formally as follows.

Proposition 5 *The lower bound in Corollary 3 is achieved for both k-regular graphs and for the class of graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$.*

9 The convex set L_k

Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{G}_k$ denote the class of connected graphs with maximum degree at most k. For every pair (a, b) of real numbers a and b we define the concept of k-good, k-bad and k-tight as follows.

- (a, b) is called *k-good* if there exists a constant $T_{a,b}$ such that

  $$\alpha'(G) \geq a|V(G)| + b|E(G)| - T_{a,b}$$

  holds for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$.
- (a, b) is called *k-bad* if it is not k-good.
- (a, b) is called *k-tight* if it is k-good and there exists a constant $S_{a,b}$ such that

  $$\alpha'(G) \leq a|V(G)| + b|E(G)| - S_{a,b}$$

  holds for infinitely many graphs $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$.

If we say that (a, b) is k-tight for a certain subset of $\mathcal{G}_k$ (for example, the class of trees or k-regular graphs), then we mean that there are infinitely many graphs from this class that satisfy $\alpha'(G) \leq a|V(G)| + b|E(G)| - S_{a,b}$ for some constant $S_{a,b}$.

Suppose that (a, b) is k-good and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Then, there exists a constant $T_{a,b}$ such that $\alpha'(G) \geq a|V(G)| + b|E(G)| - T_{a,b} \geq a|V(G)| + (b-\varepsilon)|E(G)| - T_{a,b}$, implying that $(a, b-\varepsilon)$ is k-good where $T_{a,b-\varepsilon} = T_{a,b}$. We state this formally as follows.

Observation 1 *If (a, b) is k-good and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then $(a, b-\varepsilon)$ is k-good.*

Lemma 6 *If (a, b) is k-good and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then both $(a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon)$ and $(a-\varepsilon \cdot k, b+2\varepsilon)$ are k-good. Furthermore the following holds.*

(a) *If (a, b) is k-tight for trees, then $(a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon)$ is k-tight.*

(b) *If (a, b) is k-tight for k-regular graphs, then $(a-\varepsilon \cdot k, b+2\varepsilon)$ is k-tight.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ have order n and size m. Since G is connected, we note that $m \geq n-1$. Since (a, b) is k-good, this implies that there exists a constant $T_{a,b}$ such that the following also holds for $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(G) &\geq a \cdot n + b \cdot m - T_{a,b} \\ &\geq a \cdot n + b \cdot m - T_{a,b} + \varepsilon(n-1-m) \\ &= (a+\varepsilon)n + (b-\varepsilon)m - (T_{a,b}+\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

So letting $T_{a+\varepsilon,b-\varepsilon} = T_{a,b} + \varepsilon$, the pair $(a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon)$ is k-good. If (a, b) is k-tight for trees, then there exists a constant $S_{a,b}$ such that for infinitely many trees, G', in $\mathcal{G}_k$ we have $\alpha'(G') \leq a|V(G')| + b|E(G')| - S_{a,b}$. Let G' has order n' and size m'. Then, $m' = n' - 1$ and, analogously as before, the following holds.

$$\alpha'(G') \leq a \cdot n' + b \cdot m' - S_{a,b} = (a+\varepsilon)n' + (b-\varepsilon)m' - (S_{a,b}+\varepsilon).$$

So letting $S_{a+\varepsilon,b-\varepsilon} = S_{a,b} + \varepsilon$, the pair $(a+\varepsilon, b-\varepsilon)$ is k-tight in this case. Recall that $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$ has order n and size m. As G has maximum degree at most k, we have $nk \geq \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) = 2m$, which implies that the following also holds for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(G) &\geq a \cdot n + b \cdot m - T_{a,b} \\ &\geq a \cdot n + b \cdot m - T_{a,b} + \varepsilon(2m - nk) \\ &= (a - \varepsilon \cdot k)n + (b+2\varepsilon)m - T_{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

So letting $T_{a-\varepsilon\cdot k,b+2\varepsilon} = T_{a,b}$, we note that $(a-\varepsilon \cdot k, b+2\varepsilon)$ is k-good. If (a, b) is k-tight for k-regular graphs, then for infinitely many k-regular graphs, G', in $\mathcal{G}_k$ we have $\alpha'(G') \leq a|V(G')| + b|E(G')| - S_{a,b}$. Let G' has order n' and size m'. Then, $n'k = 2m'$ and, analogously as before, the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(G) &\leq a \cdot n' + b \cdot m' - S_{a,b} \\ &= a \cdot n' + b \cdot m' - S_{a,b} + \varepsilon(2m' - n'k) \\ &= (a - \varepsilon \cdot k)n' + (b+2\varepsilon)m' - S_{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

So letting $S_{a-\varepsilon\cdot k,b+2\varepsilon} = S_{a,b}$, the pair $(a-\varepsilon \cdot k, b+2\varepsilon)$ is k-tight in this case. □

Lemma 7 *If (a, b) is k-tight, then $(a + \varepsilon, b)$ and $(a, b + \varepsilon)$ are both k-bad for all $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Assume that (a, b) is k-tight and, for the sake of contradiction, suppose that $(a+\varepsilon, b)$ is k-good for some $\varepsilon > 0$. That is, there exists a constant $T_{a+\varepsilon,b}$ such that

$$\alpha'(G) \geq (a + \varepsilon) \cdot |V(G)| + b \cdot |E(G)| - T_{a+\varepsilon,b}$$

holds for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$. Since (a, b) is k-tight, there exists a constant $S_{a,b}$ such that

$$\alpha'(G) \leq a \cdot |V(G)| + b \cdot |E(G)| - S_{a,b} = (a + \epsilon) \cdot |V(G)| + b \cdot |E(G)| - S_{a,b} - \varepsilon|V(G)|$$

holds for infinitely many $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$. However as there are infinitely many such graphs, we can choose such a graph G (of sufficiently large order) such that $S_{a,b} + \varepsilon|V(G)| > T_{a+\varepsilon,b}$. For this graph G, we have $\alpha'(G) < (a + \varepsilon)|V(G)| + b|E(G)| - T_{a+\varepsilon,b}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $(a + \varepsilon, b)$ is k-bad.

The fact that $(a, b + \varepsilon)$ is k-bad can be proved analogously. □

Lemma 8 *If (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) are both k-good, then $(\varepsilon a_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)a_2, \varepsilon b_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)b_2)$ is also k-good for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$.*

Furthermore if (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) are both k-tight for the same infinite class $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}_k$, then $(\varepsilon a_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)a_2, \varepsilon b_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)b_2)$ is also k-tight.

Proof. Since (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) are both k-good, there exists constants T_{a_1,b_1} and T_{a_2,b_2} such that

$$\alpha'(G) \geq a_1|V(G)| + b_1|E(G)| - T_{a_1,b_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'(G) \geq a_2|V(G)| + b_2|E(G)| - T_{a_2,b_2}$$

hold for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$. Let $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. Multiplying the first equation by ε and the second by $(1 - \varepsilon)$, and then adding the equations together shows that

$$\alpha'(G) \geq (\varepsilon a_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)a_2)|V(G)| + (\varepsilon b_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)b_2)|E(G)| - \varepsilon T_{a_1,b_1} - (1 - \varepsilon)T_{a_2,b_2}$$

holds for all $G \in \mathcal{G}_k$. This proves that $(\varepsilon a_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)a_2, \varepsilon b_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)b_2)$ is k-good, with $T_{\varepsilon a_1+(1-\varepsilon)a_2,\varepsilon b_1+(1-\varepsilon)b_2} = \varepsilon T_{a_1,b_1} + (1 - \varepsilon)T_{a_2,b_2}$.

Assume that (a_1, b_1) and (a_2, b_2) are both k-tight for the same infinite class $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}_k$. Thus there exists constants S_{a_1,b_1} and S_{a_2,b_2} such that

$$\alpha'(G) \leq a_1|V(G)| + b_1|E(G)| - S_{a_1,b_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'(G) \leq a_2|V(G)| + b_2|E(G)| - S_{a_2,b_2}$$

hold for all $G \in \mathcal{G}'$. Again, multiplying the first equation by ε and the second by $(1 - \varepsilon)$ and adding the equations together shows that

$$\alpha'(G) \leq (\varepsilon a_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)a_2)|V(G)| + (\varepsilon b_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)b_2)|E(G)| - \varepsilon S_{a_1,b_1} - (1 - \varepsilon)S_{a_2,b_2}.$$

holds for all $G \in \mathcal{G}'$. Therefore, $(\varepsilon a_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)a_2, \varepsilon b_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)b_2)$ is k-tight letting

$$S_{\varepsilon a_1+(1-\varepsilon)a_2,\varepsilon b_1+(1-\varepsilon)b_2} = \varepsilon S_{a_1,b_1} + (1 - \varepsilon)S_{a_2,b_2}. \quad \square$$

9.1 k odd

Theorem 9 *Let $k \geq 3$ be odd and let $a^* = \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}$ and $b^* = \frac{k^2-k-2}{k(k^2-3)}$. For any pair (a,b), the following holds.*

(a) *If $a \leq a^*$, then (a,b) is k-good if and only if $b \leq b^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right)$.*
(b) *If $a > a^*$, then (a,b) is k-good if and only if $b \leq b^* + a^* - a$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2, the pair (a^*, b^*) is k-good with

$$T_{a^*,b^*} = \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-3)}.$$

By Proposition 4, the lower bound in Corollary 2 is achieved for an infinite class of both trees and k-regular graphs, implying that (a^*, b^*) is k-tight for both trees and k-regular graphs.

Suppose $a \leq a^*$, and let $\varepsilon = (a^* - a)/k$. By Lemma 6, we note that $(a^* - \varepsilon \cdot k, b^* + 2\varepsilon)$ is k-good. Further, since (a^*, b^*) is k-tight for k-regular graphs, by Lemma 6(b), we note that $(a^* - \varepsilon \cdot k, b^* + 2\varepsilon)$ is k-tight. Since $\varepsilon = (a^* - a)/k$, this is equivalent to $(a, b^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right))$ being k-tight. If $b \leq b^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right)$, then by Observation 1, the pair (a,b) is k-good. If $b > b^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right)$, then by Lemma 7 and our earlier observation that $(a, b^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right))$ is k-tight, the pair (a,b) is k-bad. This completes the case when $a \leq a^*$.

Suppose next that $a > a^*$, and let $\varepsilon = a - a^*$. By Lemma 6, we note that $(a^* + \varepsilon, b^* - \varepsilon)$ is k-good. Further since (a^*, b^*) is k-tight for trees, we note by Lemma 6(a) that $(a^* + \varepsilon, b^* - \varepsilon)$ is k-tight. Since $\varepsilon = (a^* - a)/k$, this is equivalent to $(a, b^* + a^* - a)$ being k-tight. If $b \leq b^* + a^* - a$, then by Observation 1, the pair (a,b) is k-good. If $b > b^* + a^* - a$, then by Lemma 7 and our earlier observation that $(a, b^* + a^* - a)$ is k-tight, the pair (a,b) is k-bad. □

We remark that the equation in Theorem 9(a) corresponds to the half-plane ℓ_2 described in the introductory section, noting that

$$b \leq b^* + 2\left(\frac{a^* - a}{k}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)a + \frac{k^3 - k^2 - 2}{k^2(k^2-3)}.$$

The equation in Theorem 9(b) corresponds to the half-plane ℓ_1 described in the introductory section, noting that

$$b \leq b^* + a^* - a = -a + \frac{1}{k}.$$

Theorem 9 is illustrated in Figure 1 when $k = 3$ and $k = 5$. The grey area corresponds to all k-good pairs (a,b) while the non-grey area corresponds to the k-bad pairs.

9.2 k even

Theorem 10 *Let $k \geq 4$ be even and let $a_1^* = \frac{1}{k(k+1)}$ and $b_1^* = \frac{1}{k+1}$ and $a_2^* = -\frac{k-2}{k^2+k+2}$ and $b_2^* = \frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}$. For any pair (a,b), the following holds.*

(a) *If $a \leq a_2^*$, then (a,b) is k-good if and only if $b \leq b_2^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right)$.*
(b) *If $a > a_1^*$, then (a,b) is k-good if and only if $b \leq b_1^* + a_1^* - a$.*
(c) *If $a_2^* < a \leq a_1^*$, then (a,b) is k-good if and only if $b \leq b_2^* + \frac{(b_1^*-b_2^*)(a-a_2^*)}{a_1^*-a_2^*}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1, the pair (a_1^*, b_1^*) is k-good with $T_{a_1^*,b_1^*} = -1/k$, while by Corollary 3, the pair (a_2^*, b_2^*) is k-good with

$$T_{a_2^*,b_2^*} = -\frac{k+2}{k^2+k+2}.$$

By Proposition 2, the lower bound in Corollary 1 is achieved for both trees and for the class of graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$, implying that (a_1^*, b_1^*) is k-tight for both trees and graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$. By Proposition 5, the lower bound in Corollary 3 is achieved for the class of graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$ and for the class of k-regular graphs, implying that (a_2^*, b_2^*) is k-tight for these classes of graphs.

Suppose that $a \leq a_2^*$, and let $\varepsilon = (a_2^* - a)/k$. By Lemma 6, we note that $(a_2^* - \varepsilon \cdot k, b_2^* + 2\varepsilon)$ is k-good. Further, since (a_2^*, b_2^*) is k-tight for k-regular graphs, by Lemma 6(b), we note that $(a_2^* - \varepsilon \cdot k, b_2^* + 2\varepsilon)$ is k-tight. Since $\varepsilon = (a_2^* - a)/k$, this is equivalent to $(a, b_2^* + 2\left(\frac{a_2^*-a}{k}\right))$ being k-tight. If $b \leq b_2^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right)$, then by Observation 1, the pair (a,b) is k-good. If $b > b_2^* + 2\left(\frac{a^*-a}{k}\right)$, then by Lemma 7 and our earlier observation that $(a, b_2^* + 2\left(\frac{a_2^*-a}{k}\right))$ is k-tight, the pair (a,b) is k-bad. This completes the case when $a \leq a_2^*$.

Suppose that $a > a_1^*$, and let $\varepsilon = a_1 - a^*$. By Lemma 6, we note that $(a_1^* + \varepsilon, b_1^* - \varepsilon)$ is k-good. Further since (a_1^*, b_1^*) is k-tight for trees, we note by Lemma 6(a) that $(a_1^* + \varepsilon, b_1^* - \varepsilon)$ is k-tight. Since $\varepsilon = (a^* - a)/k$, this is equivalent to $(a, b_1^* + a_1^* - a)$ being k-tight. If $b \leq b_1^* + a_1^* - a$, then by Observation 1, the pair (a,b) is k-good. If $b > b_1^* + a_1^* - a$, then by Lemma 7 and our earlier observation that $(a, b_1^* + a_1^* - a)$ is k-tight, the pair (a,b) is k-bad.

Finally, suppose that $a_2^* < a \leq a_1^*$ and let $\varepsilon = (a - a_2^*)/(a_1^* - a_2^*)$. By Lemma 8, we note that $(\varepsilon a_1^* + (1-\varepsilon)a_2^*, \varepsilon b_1^* + (1-\varepsilon)b_2^*)$ is k-good. Furthermore, since (a_1^*, b_1^*) and (a_2^*, b_2^*) are both k-tight for graphs in the family $\mathcal{G}'_{k,r}$, we note that $(\varepsilon a_1^* + (1-\varepsilon)a_2^*, \varepsilon b_1^* + (1-\varepsilon)b_2^*)$ is k-tight. Since $\varepsilon = (a - a_2^*)/(a_1^* - a_2^*)$, this is equivalent to $\left(a, b_2^* + \frac{(b_1^*-b_2^*)(a-a_2^*)}{a_1^*-a_2^*}\right)$ being k-tight. If $b \leq b_2^* + \frac{(b_1^*-b_2^*)(a-a_2^*)}{a_1^*-a_2^*}$, then by Observation 1, the pair (a,b) is k-good. If $b > b_2^* + \frac{(b_1^*-b_2^*)(a-a_2^*)}{a_1^*-a_2^*}$, then by Lemma 7 and our earlier observation that $\left(a, b_2^* + \frac{(b_1^*-b_2^*)(a-a_2^*)}{a_1^*-a_2^*}\right)$ is k-tight, the pair (a,b) is k-bad. □

We remark that the equation in Theorem 10(a) corresponds to the half-plane ℓ_3 described in the introductory section, noting that

$$b \le b_2^* + 2\left(\frac{a_2^* - a}{k}\right) = -\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)a + \frac{k^2+4}{k(k^2+k+2)}.$$

The equation in Theorem 10(b) corresponds to the half-plane ℓ_1 described in the introductory section, noting that

$$b \le b_1^* + a_1^* - a = -a + \frac{1}{k}.$$

The equation in Theorem 10(c) corresponds to the half-plane ℓ_4 described in the introductory section, noting that

$$b \le b_2^* + \frac{(b_1^* - b_2^*)(a - a_2^*)}{a_1^* - a_2^*} = -\left(\frac{2k^2}{k^3-k+2}\right)a + \frac{k^2-k+2}{k^3-k+2}.$$

Theorem 10 is illustrated in Figure 1 when $k = 4$ and $k = 6$. The grey area corresponds to all k-good pairs (a, b) while the non-grey area corresponds to the k-bad pairs.

References

[1] C. Berge, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **247,** (1958) 258–259 and *Graphs and Hypergraphs* (Chap. 8, Theorem 12), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[2] T. Biedl, E. D. Demaine, C. A. Duncan, R. Fleischer and S. G. Kobourov, Tight bounds on maximal and maximum matchings. *Discrete Math.* **285** (2004), 7–15.

[3] V. Chvátal and C. McDiarmid, Small transversals in hypergraphs. *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), 19–26.

[4] S. M. Cioabă, D. A. Gregory, and W. H. Haemers, Matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B* **99** (2009), 287–297.

[5] P. E. Haxell and A. D. Scott, On lower bounds for the matching number of subcubic graphs. Manuscript, June 2014. http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7227

[6] M. A. Henning, C. Löwenstein, and D. Rautenbach, Independent sets and matchings in subcubic graphs. *Discrete Math.* **312** (2012), 1900–1910.

[7] M. A. Henning and A. Yeo, Tight lower bounds on the size of a matching in a regular graph. *Graphs Combin.* **23** (2007), 647–657.

[8] M. A. Henning and A. Yeo, *Total domination in graphs (Springer Monographs in Mathematics).* ISBN-13: 978-1461465249 (2013).

[9] S. Jahanbekam and D. B. West, New lower bounds on matching numbers of general and bipartite graphs. *Congr. Numer.* **218** (2013), 57–59.

[10] P. Katerinis, Maximum matching in a regular graph of specified connectivity and bounded order. *J. Graph Theory* **11** (1987), 53–58.

[11] L. Lovász and M. D. Plummer, Matching Theory, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 121, Ann. Discrete Math., vol. 29, North-Holland, 1986.

[12] Suil O and D. B. West, Balloons, cut-edges, matchings, and total domination in regular graphs of odd degree. *J. Graph Theory* **64** (2010), 116–131.

[13] Suil O and D. B. West, Matching and edge-connectivity in regular graphs. *European J. Comb.* **32** (2011), 324–329.

[14] M. Plummer, Factors and Factorization. 403–430. *Handbook of Graph Theory ed.* J. L. Gross and J. Yellen. CRC Press, 2003, ISBN: 1-58488-092-2.

[15] W. R. Pulleyblank, Matchings and Extension. 179–232. *Handbook of Combinatorics ed.* R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász. Elsevier Science B.V. 1995, ISBN 0-444-82346-8.

[16] D. B. West, A short proof of the Berge-Tutte Formula and the Gallai-Edmonds Structure Theorem. *European J. Comb.* **32** (2011), 674–676.